

SAN FRANCISCO COMMITTEE

Treasurer: Walter Shorenstein
One California Street
San Francisco, Cal. 94111

Receipt Error Analysis

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
5-26-72	Golden Gateway Center (Partnership)	\$500	Name omitted from report by San Francisco Committee.
5-26-72	O'Brien, Stout, Bunim, Frazier & Hallisey	\$500.	Name omitted from report by San Francisco Committee.
5-16-72	John S. Bolles	\$200.	Report of 5-16-72 from San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Claude Cody	\$1000.	Report of 5-16-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Richard Dinner	\$200.	Report of 5-16-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Alfred From	\$250.	Report of 5-16-72 San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Grafton Worthington	\$1000.	Report of 5-16-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-19-72	Joseph Aliano	\$500.	Report of 5-19-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-19-72	M. F. Bagan	\$200.	Report of 5-19-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
5-19-72	Robert Foehn	\$200.	Report of 5-19-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
6-2-72	John Brooks	\$5000.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Jerry Magnin	\$500.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Adolph Schuman	\$1000.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Joseph Seaver	\$500.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Robert Sutro	\$200.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Francisco Committee not received by reporting clerk.

265

MINNESOTANS FOR HUMPHREY COMMITTEE

Treasurer: William McGrann
IDS Building; 38th Floor
Minneapolis, Minn. 55401

Receipt Error Analysis

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
5-15-72	Clarence Quigley	\$600.	Report of 5-15-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-15-72	George Frisch	\$225.	Report of 5-15-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-15-72	Gerald Werden	\$200.	Report of 5-15-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-15-72	Don Kimball	\$300.	Report of 5-15-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	M. B. Green	\$1000.	Report of 5-16-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Howard Keller	\$500.	Report of 5-16-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.
5-16-72	Donald Stimpert	\$200.	Report of 5-16-72 from "Minnesotans for Humphrey" not received by reporting clerk.

SAN DIEGO COMMITTEE

Treasurer: Ms. Marlene Feldman
c/o State Democratic Central Comm.
4034 Goldfinch St.
San Diego, Calif. 92103

Receipt Error Analysis

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
6-2-72	Dennis Lang	\$200.	Report of 6-2-72 from San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Monte Maxey	\$200.	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Francis Jackson	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	H.W. Meister	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	August Felando	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Michael House	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	Dewain Davis	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.
6-2-72	C. D. Harris	\$200	Report of 6-2-72 by San Diego Committee not received by reporting clerk.

Airtel

EX-105

REC-75

7/2/75

To: SAC, WFO

1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

From: Director, FBI

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
ELECTION LAWS
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT
OO: WFO

Enclosed for each office receiving this communication are two copies of a memorandum dated 6/27/75, from the Watergate Special Prosecution Force (SPF), along with two copies of Exhibit A attached thereto.

Receiving offices should thoroughly review the above enclosures to insure that investigation requested by SPF is completely covered during interviews requested. Any leads developed by other offices should be set forth expeditiously along with instructions that investigation in this case is to receive the same immediate handling that all cases growing

Encs. 4

- 2 - Los Angeles (Encs. 4)
- 2 - Minneapolis (Encs. 4)
- 2 - San Diego (Encs. 4)
- 2 - San Francisco (Encs. 4)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

NLC: dac
(12)

MAILED 7

JUL 2 1975

FBI

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel to SAC, WFO

Re: COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

out of Watergate matters have been afforded.

FBIHQ is to be immediately informed of all significant developments. All interviews must be complete and thorough and where at all possible, statements made by interviewees should be independently verified; do not merely accept the interviewee's statement. If interviewee requests interview in presence of an attorney, authority is granted for interview under such conditions provided there is no information known to the interviewing Agent making such an interview undesirable.

Four copies of reports or LHM should be furnished FBIHQ. none to USA and no discussions should be held with the USA.

Minneapolis Division will handle interviews relating to the Nebraska Committee and Minnesotans for Humphrey Committee. Washington Field Office will handle interviews relating to the New Jersey Committee and Los Angeles, San Diego, and San Francisco will handle the interviews in their respective areas.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Assoc. Dir.	
Dep. Asst. Dir.:	
Dep. A.D.-Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	
Ext. Affairs	
Files & Com.	
Gen. Inv.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Plan. & Eval.	
Spec. Inv.	
Training	
Legal Coun.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director Sec'y	

NR 036 LA PLAIN

10:48PM NITEL JULY 10, 1975 AXV

TO DIRECTOR

WASHINGTON FIELD

FROM LOS ANGELES (56-261)

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY,
ELECTION LAWS, ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE
UNIT, OO: WASHINGTON FIELD.

RE YOUR AIRTEL, JULY 2, 1975.

JULIUS GLAZER, ACCOUNTANT FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMMITTEES
TO ELECT HUMPHREY, WAS EMPLOYED IN THAT POSITION MAY 1972 TO JANUARY
1973. HE REPORTED TO EUGENE L. WYMAN, FUNDRAISER IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
WHO DIED IN 1973. HE REPORTED MONEY FURNISHED HIM FOR THIS CAMPAIGN
TO NATIONAL COMMITTEE IN WASHINGTON D.C., WHENEVER DEPOSIT WAS MADE
IN LOCAL BANK. HE FURNISHED XEROX COPY OF EACH CHECK AND EACH
DEPOSIT SLIP ON SAME DATE DEPOSIT MADE. HE DID NOT, REPEAT
DID NOT, DISCONTINUE REPORTING OF MONEY RECEIVED IN THIS CAMPAIGN
AFTER JUNE 27, 1972. ALL MONIES RECEIVED DURING HIS EMPLOYMENT WITH
THIS COMMITTEE FORWARDED AS STATED ABOVE. NO ACKNOWLEDGEMENT RECEIVED
BY GLAZER OF RECEIPT OF MONEY AT NATIONAL COMMITTEE, BUT ASSUMES WYMAN
WOULD HAVE RECEIVED SOME CONFIRMATION FROM NATIONAL COMMITTEE THAT

70 JUL 29 1975

JUL 11 1975

TELETYPE

O- *Rosoff*
cc *Rosoff*

Handwritten signature

PAGE TWO (LA 56-261)

HE WAS IN CONTACT WITH FREQUENTLY. GLAZER MAINTAINES NO RECORDS AS THEY WERE PICKED UP BY BEN FELLOWS OF NATIONAL COMMITTEE IN JANUARY 1973, AND THEY WERE TRANSPORTED TO PAUL THATCHER OF NATIONAL COMMITTEE. HE ASSUMES NATIONAL COMMITTEE ULTIMATELY LEARNED OF MONIES HE SENT TO THEM WHEN REPORTS WERE PICKED UP BY FELLOWS. GLAZER ASSUMES THERE WAS ERROR IN CENTRAL REPORTING SYSTEM THAT CAUSED THIS MIX-UP. HE CANNOT UNDERSTAND WHY NATIONAL COMMITTEE DID NOT CORRECT THEIR ERRORS IMMEDIATELY INSTEAD OF WAITING ELEVEN (11) MONTHS.

GLAZER STATED MRS. WYMAN MAY HAVE SOME INFO CONCERNING THIS MATTER INASMUCH AS SHE WAS CLOSE TO CAMPAIGN. MRS. WYMAN BEING INTERVIEWED, UACB AND AUTHORITY TO EXAMINE BANK RECORDS OBTAINED FROM GLAZER. CITY NATIONAL BANK, LOS ANGELES, TO FURNISH COPIES OF BANK STATEMENTS AS SOON AS AVAILABLE AND LA WILL EXAMINE PERTINENT BANK RECORDS TO DETERMINE IF MONIES WERE DEPOSITED BY GLAZER AND IF WITHDRAWN BY NATIONAL COMMITTEE AFTER JUNE 27, 1972.

END

HOLD

NR012 WF PLAIN

832 PM NITEL JULY 11, 1975 WWC

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND
SAC, NEWARK
FROM: SAC, WFO (56-501)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 11 1975

TELETYPE

Asst. Dir.:	
Dep. A.D.-Adm.	
Dep. A.D.-Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	
Ext. Affairs	
Files & Com.	
Gen. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Plan. & Eval.	
Spec. Inv.	
Training	
Legal Coun.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director Sec'y	

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY ELECTION
LAWS ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT (OO:WFO)

RE BUREAU AIRTEL TO WFO JULY 2, 1975.

ACCOMPANYING FACSIMILE FOR NEWARK WILL INCLUDE COPY OF REFERENCED
AIRTEL, MEMORANDUM DATED JUNE 27, 1975 FROM WATERGATE SPECIAL
PROSECUTION FORCE (SPF) AND COPY OF EXHIBIT A REGARDING; NEW
JERSEY COMMITTEE.

ON JULY 10, 1975 ROBERT BARRIE, TREASURER, CARE OF GENERAL
ELECTRIC, 777 14TH STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC) 4 20005
WAS TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED AT HIS REQUEST BY SPECIAL AGENT
[REDACTED] BARRIE ADVISED HE WAS THE NATIONAL COORDI-
NATOR OF THE NEW JERSEY (NJ) COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY. BARRIE ADVISED THE NJ COMMITTEE HAD A
FUND RAISER FOR HUMPHREY AT THE RAYBURN COUNTRY CLUB IN FLOOR-
HAM PARK, NJ, SOMETIME IN EARLY JUNE, 1972. THE FUND RAISER
OCCURRED ON THE WEEKEND PRECEDING THE NJ AND CALIFORNIA PRIMARIES
WHICH WERE HELD ON THE SAME DAY. HUMPHREY WAS EXPECTED TO

JUL 16 1975

70 JUL 29 1975

PAGE TWO WFO 56-501

ATTEND THE FUND RAISER IN NJ, HOWEVER, HE STAYED IN CALIFORNIA. BARRIE BELIEVED ALL NAMES OF CONTRIBUTORS ON EXHIBIT A WERE SOLICITED FOR THIS PARTY.

BARRIE ADVISED THAT AFTER HUMPHREY LOST IN CALIFORNIA, APPROXIMATELY TWO THIRDS OF HIS STAFF WAS FIRED AND VERY LITTLE ACTIVITY TOOK PLACE REGARDING THE COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUMPHREY.

BARRIE STATED ALL RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE NJ COMMITTEE WERE SUPPOSE TO BE PLACED ON A PRE-PRINTED FORM AND SUBMITTED TO THE WASHINGTON CAMPAIGN HEADQUARTERS. BARRIE SAID THERE WAS A WRITTEN INSTRUCTION REGARDING THIS PROCEDURE. THE PROCEDURE ALSO REQUIRED THAT ALL RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES ALSO BE CALLED IN BY TELEPHONE.

BARRIE SAID HE HIMSELF DID NOT REMEMBER COLLECTING ANY MONEY, HOWEVER, AN INDIVIDUAL NAMED JOAN LAST NAME UNKNOWN (LNU) DEPOSITED THE MONEY AND SENT OUT THE FORMS. BARRIE ADVISED THAT JOAN LNU'S IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR WAS JIM DELANEY, SCOTTSPLAIN, NJ, TELEPHONE NUMBER 201-322-9241. BARRIE SAID DELANEY WAS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE NJ COMMITTEE. BARRIE

1172

PAGE THREE WFO 56-501

ADVISED HE HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH DELANEY AND DELANEY IS KNOWLEDGEABLE OF THIS MATTER.

BARRIE STATED IN 1973 BEN FELLOWS, ACCOUNTANT FOR THE HUMPHREY COMMITTEE, WDC, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED HIM REQUESTING THE REPORTS OF CONTRIBUTORS FROM THE INDIVIDUALS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A FOR THE NJ COMMITTEE. BARRIE SAID HE CALLED DELANEY WHO ADVISED THAT EVERYTHING HAD BEEN SENT TO WDC. DELANEY THEN WENT TO A NJ BANK WHERE THE NJ COMMITTEE ACCOUNT FOR HUMPHREY HAD BEEN KEPT AND REPRODUCED THE RECORDS. DELANEY THEN SUPPLIED THESE RECORDS TO FELLOWS. BARRIE BELIEVED THE BANK IN QUESTION WAS THE SOMERSET TRUST COMPANY, SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY.

DELANEY HAS ADVISED BARRIE THAT ALL FILES REGARDING; THE NJ COMMITTEE WERE SENT TO WDC TO THE NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS FOR THE HUMPHREY COMMITTEE.

BARRIE BELIEVED ANY ERROR IN REPORTING CONTRIBUTIONS TO HUMPHREY WOULD BE DUE TO THE CONFUSION AND LACK OF PERSONNEL AT THE NJ COMMITTEE FOLLOWING HUMPHREY'S DEFEAT IN THE CALIFORNIA PRIMARY.

ON JULY 11, 1975 BARRIE AGAIN TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED

PAGE FOUR WFO 56-501

SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] AD ADVISED THAT HE REMEMBERED AN ADDITIONAL FUND RAISING EVENT FOR HUMPHREY IN NJ. HE SAID THIS EVENT OCCURRED BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA PRIMARY AND COULD HAVE BEEN IN MAY, 1972. THE EVENT WAS A FIFTEEN OR TWENTY-FIVE DOLLAR A PLATE DINNER FOR HUMPHREY. BARRIE SAID THE MONEY WAS COLLECTED AT THE DOOR AND NAMES OF THE CONTRIBUTORS WERE TAKEN. BARRIE BELIEVES THIS WOULD ACCOUNT FOR THE \$1,670 CONTRIBUTION ON MAY 26, 1972 IN WHICH THE CONTRIBUTOR WAS NAMED AS ANONYMOUS. BARRIE HAD NO IDEA WHY THE NAMES OF THE CONTRIBUTORS NEVER REACHED THE WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS OF THE HUMPHREY COMMITTEE.

LEADS: NEWARK AT SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY WILL CONTACT JIM DELANEY, TELEPHONE NUMBER 201-322-9241 RE SUBJECT MATTER.

END.

HOLD

58

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE SAN DIEGO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WFO	DATE 7/16/75	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 7/7/75-7/14/75
TITLE OF CASE COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED] b7c	TYPED BY kek
		CHARACTER OF CASE ELECTION LAWS ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION- WATERGATE UNIT	

REFERENCE: Bureau airtel to WFO with enclosure, 7/2/75.

-RUC-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Mrs. MARLENE FELDMAN asked for and was furnished a copy of her signed statement. She requested that the statement be read by her attorney, WAYNE A. HUGHES prior to her signing the statement. She signed the statement in the presence of her attorney.

Attorney WAYNE HUGHES was interviewed inasmuch as he was the treasurer of the San Diego branch of the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY. The information furnished by him was not obtained in signed statement form as he had no function in the keeping of records and reporting nor did he have any knowledge of these matters.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		

APPROVED <i>S/a</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: (4) Bureau		56-5111-1	REC 21

2-WFO

1-San Diego (56-64)

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations
Agency	Request Recd.	Date Fwd.	How Fwd.	
				<i>[Signature]</i> SIX DATA PROC

By

66 JUL 31 1975 1162A

COVER PAGE

EXP. PROC.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

b7c
[REDACTED]
July 16, 1975

Office: SAN DIEGO

Field Office File #: San Diego 56-64

Bureau File #:

Title:

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

Character:

ELECTION LAWS
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION-WATERGATE UNIT

Synopsis:

Mrs. MARLENE CATHERINE FELDMAN, coordinator, her assistant, DAVID A. ALVERNAZ and WAYNE ALAN HUGHES, treasurer, San Diego branch of Committee for Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY all state they have not seen the report dated 6/2/72 listing \$200.00 contributions by eight individuals and have never been contacted by the national committee regarding this report. Mrs. FELDMAN states contributions were probably made at cocktail party held by KEENE WALCOTT, Route 1, Box M-19, Del Mar, Ca., on 6/2/72. DAVID A. ALVERNAZ was responsible for keeping records and sending copies to national committee at time intervals specified by them. National committee did not always confirm receipt of reports. No significant contributions received in San Diego and there was no system or forms for reporting such a contribution. All records furnished to national committee representative by Mrs. FELDMAN.

-RUC-

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription July 15, 1975

MARLENE CATHERINE FELDMAN, 1706 Swallow Drive, El Cajon, California, was interviewed at the San Diego Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) on July 7, 1975. She read and signed an "Interrogation; Advice of Rights" form. The purpose of the interview was explained to her. She furnished information incorporated into the following statement which she read and signed on July 14, 1975, in the presence of her attorney, WAYNE A. HUGHES, 2150 First Avenue, San Diego, California.

"July 14, 1975

"I, Marlene Catherine Feldman, having read and signed an "Interrogation Advice of Rights" form, make the following voluntary statement to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] *b7c* who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I am aware that this statement can be used in a court of law.

[REDACTED] *b7c*

"I was coordinator of the San Diego Committee for the nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey from about November, 1971, through the time the Democratic Convention was held in July or August, 1972. We had an office in the California Theatre Building, 1122 4th Avenue, San Diego, California. I later went to work for the State Deomocratic Central Committee in San Diego, California, in January, 1973.

"David Alvernaz, presently employed for Project Concern in San Diego, California, kept all records made all reports and sent the reports to the

Interviewed on July 7, 1975 at San Diego, California File # 56-64

by SA [REDACTED] *b7c* Date dictated 7/11/75

national committee in Washington, D.C. for the local committee. He did not handle funds except to handle the paper work concerning them.

"I was able to write checks on our checking account up to \$100.00 each. Any check over that amount needed two signatures. Robert Driver, Wayne Hughes, an attorney and I were able to cosign checks.

"David Alvernaz kept a ledger and every check written or received was recorded. All records were xeroxed and were forwarded to the national committee. This was done on a time schedule set by the national committee who would advise when reports should be submitted and would tell us if reports had not been received on schedule. I do not recall how often reports were submitted. An individual I recall only as Ben who I met at the Century Plaza in Los Angeles would telephone us and ask that reports be submitted. I don't know where his offices were other than Washington, D.C. I believe Ben was with the national committee. I do not recall receiving any confirmation from the national committee that any of our reports had been received by them. I only recall them telling us they had not received reports on occasion.

"We had no system of informing the national committee if we received a significant contribution. Most of our contributions were small, that is, below \$500.00. A loan was made with the downtown office of the Security Pacific Bank. This loan was cosigned by seven or eight gentlemen who later paid off the loan. The receipt of this money was reported routinely to the national committee. No money was ever sent out of San Diego to the national committee to my knowledge. All of the money raised in San Diego was spent on the local campaign for Humphrey. Other money was given by people from San Diego directly to the State or National Committees. The money was sent directly to the State or National Committees from San Diego residents.

"At one time, I was given a list of five person who had contributed over \$100.00 each. I do not recall their names. I was instructed to check to see who they were. I learned that one of the contributions was from a corporation and that money was returned to them. I do not now recall what the corporation was. I recall that the other four individuals had individually given the money. I did this at the instruction of the national committee.

"I had no knowledge that a part of the records in San Diego had not been reported on a timely basis until after I had been contacted by an Agent from the San Diego office of the FBI for an appointment. On about July 7, 1975, one of the secretaries employed by the Wyman law firm in Los Angeles, California, telephoned me and said I would probably be contacted by the FBI as they were conducting an investigation into the reporting of contributions by the Humphrey committee.

"I have examined a page of paper containing the names of eight individuals who contributed \$200.00 each on June 2, 1972. I believe this might have been contributions from a cocktail party held by Keene Walcott, Route 1, Box M-19, Del Mar, California. I do not recall having seen any such report previously or having anything to do with reporting the receipt of this money to the national committee. This would have been handled by David Alvernaz who assisted me. The \$1600.00 involved would have been spent locally in San Diego and would not have been sent to the national committee. I have never been contacted by the national committee regarding this report.

"Sometime after the Democratic National Convention in 1972, I gave all of our records to the man I recall as being Ben at Century Plaza in Los Angeles. At the present time there are no records in my possession regarding the campaign for the nomination of Humphrey.

"I have read this statement of this page and two others and it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

"Executed in San Diego, California, on 14 July, 1975.

/s/ "Marlene Feldman

"Witnesses:

lrc
/s/ [REDACTED] SA, FBI, San Diego, 7/14/75,

/s/ [REDACTED] SA, FBI, San Diego 7/14/75."

The following is a description of Mrs. FELDMAN:

[REDACTED] *lk* [REDACTED]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription June 11, 1975

DAVID A. ALVERNAN, 1905 Carmelina, San Diego, California, was interviewed at Project Concern, 3802 Houston Street, San Diego, California. He furnished the following signed statement which he read and signed on July 9, 1975:

"July 9, 1975

b7c "I, David A. Alvernaz, having read and signed an 'Interrogation; Advice of Rights' form, make the following voluntary statement to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I was advised of the scope and purpose of this interview at its inception. I am aware that this statement can be used in a court of law."

b7c [REDACTED]

"From about January 1972, until September, 1972, I worked with the San Diego Committee for the nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey. I had no title but assisted the coordinator of the San Diego Campaign, Marlene Feldman. The office was really run by Marlene Feldman, Chris Poskin and me. There was also a committee headed by Robert Driver. Irving Kahn, Wayne Hughes, Murray Goodman and others were on this committee."

Interviewed on July 8-9, 1975 at San Diego, California File # SD 56-64

by SA [REDACTED] b7c Date dictated 7/10/75

"Wayne Hughes, an attorney in San Diego, held the official title of Treasurer. Mrs. Feldman could write checks up to \$100.00. Any check over \$100.00 had to have two signatures. Persons authorized to sign were Marlene Feldman, Robert Driver and Wayne Hughes. We had a checking account at the downtown branch of the Security Pacific Bank. I received bank statements on the account for some time after the end of our activity indicating a small balance and I referred them to Mrs. Feldman and I finally stopped receiving the statements. I do not recall anything further regarding the identity of the bank account and I have no records at this time."

"I was responsible for keeping records, sending reports to higher headquarters in the committee and for paying bills for the San Diego Committee. We had a 'Vending Code Number' for each account. This was a number we placed on all of our communications that identified the originator. I do not recall what this number was. I kept a ledger in which I recorded the date of each check, the amount of the check, to whom it was paid or who it was received from, what it was for and any other information I thought was pertinent. I also had a check book ledger which included the same information."

"Until about May, 1972, I would furnish all information regarding our financial activity to Joe Cerrell's office in Los Angeles. I do not know his specific address but he was a professional political consultant. I would receive a telephone call from them and would give all of our information to them over the telephone. I do not recall making any written reports at that time."

"In about the middle of May, 1972, we were instructed to begin sending written reports to Washington, D.C. to the National committee. I do not recall the address. I sent xerox copies of everything to them about every three weeks. I do not recall the exact spacing of the reports but we were instructed as to how often they were to be sent. The reports were mailed first class or air mail. This continued until June, 1972, when Mr. Humphrey lost the primary election. Until that time we sent in reports to Washington headquarters of the Humphrey committee. In addition we were still giving oral reports to Joe Cerrell's office in Los Angeles.

"After the primary election was lost, activity in San Diego slowed practically to a stop. I took a two week vacation. I returned to San Diego on about July 4, 1972, and at that time I again xeroxed all records not previously sent in and sent them to the national office of the committee. The information was sent in self addressed envelopes made available to us by the national committee.

"Our office was on the third floor of the California Theatre Building located at 1142 4th Avenue, San Diego, California. There was little or no activity at the office from the primary election in June until the Convention in about August, 1972. After the Democratic Convention was over, I xeroxed everything and mailed a final report to national headquarters.

"At that time a loan from the Security Pacific Bank remained outstanding in the amount of \$20,000.00. This loan was paid off by the co-signers.

"I do not recall ever getting any indication from the national committee that they had received everything that we had mailed to them. They would advise us if some report was due and they had not received it.

"No significant contributions were ever received. There was no special procedure or forms for reporting such a contribution should one have been received. No money was sent to the national committee as far as is known to me. All the money received in San Diego was spent on the local campaign.

"I have examined a page listing eight contributions from individuals on June 2, 1972. I do not recall ever seeing such a list of contributions. I have never been advised that such a list was not received on time by the national committee for Humphrey and I am not aware of the reason for this series of contributions of \$200.00 each on June 2, 1972. I could have sent this report in and not recall it at this time.

"I have read this report of this page and three others and it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

"David A. Alvernaz

Witnessed:

[REDACTED] SA, FBI, San Diego, 7/9/75.
Jr., SA, FBI, San Diego, 7/9/75"

67c

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b7c with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☒ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies) _____, was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.

_____ Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); _____ as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information: _____

- ☐ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

7/14/75

Date of transcription

Attorney WAYNE ALAN HUGHES, 1069 Lincoln, San Diego, California, was interviewed at his office at 2150 First Avenue, San Diego. He is a member of the Dillaway, Hughes and Wilson Law Firm, 2150 First Avenue, San Diego.

Mr. HUGHES read and signed an "Interrogation: Advice of Rights" form. He was advised of the purpose of the interview and he furnished the following information:

He held the official title of Treasurer of the San Diego Branch of the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY, during 1972. He does not recall the exact dates. He possibly held the position until about September, 1972.

He was appointed Treasurer of the group for the use of his name and because he would be available to co-sign checks. Any check over \$100 needed two signatures. Persons who could sign checks were MARLENE FELDMAN, Coordinator for the group, ROBERT DRIVER and HUGHES. MARLENE FELDMAN could write checks up to \$100 using only her signature.

Mr. HUGHES said he had no duties in keeping of records, making reports, preparing checks, or anything else connected with the committee except the co-signing of a few checks and possibly making a few telephone calls asking for funds. DAVID ALVERNAX handled most of the record keeping and reporting duties. He said he does not have any records in his possession nor has he ever seen any of the records.

The state and national committees had assumed the responsibility for reporting receipts and expenditures as required by law.

Mr. HUGHES examined a page reflecting the donation of \$200 cash by eight individuals. He said he is not acquainted with any of these individuals but that on about June 2, 1972,

Interviewed on 7/10/75 at San Diego, California File # SD 56-64

by SA [REDACTED] 47C Date dictated 7/11/75

SD 56-64

a cocktail party was held in the Del Mar area. Mr. HUMPHREY attended this cocktail party and it is likely that the eight individuals contributing \$200 each were also at the party.

Mr. HUGHES said he has never been contacted regarding the delayed reporting of the receipt of the eight \$200 contributions and knew nothing about it until interviewed.

Mr. HUGHES is described as follows:

b7c [REDACTED]

NR 011 NK PLAIN

5:35PM 7/18/75 NITEL RXG

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 18 1975

TELETYPE

Rodriguez
Cat 3

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep. A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep. A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

TO DIRECTOR

WFO (56-501)

FROM NEWARK (56-199) (P)

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY; ELECTION
LAWS; ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION-WATERGATE UNIT 00: WFO
RE: WFO TEL TO BUREAU, 7/11/75.

FOLLOWING NUMEROUS ATTEMPTS, JAMES J. DELANEY, 418 UNION
AVE., SCOTCH PLAINS, NJ, TELEPHONE 201-322-8241 WAS TELEPHON-
ICALLY CONTACTED JULY 18, 1975, AT WHICH TIME HE ADVISED
AVAILABLE 10:00 AM, JULY 21, 1975. EX-103

NEWARK INTERVIEWING DELANEY REGARDING CAPTIONED MATTER.

END

REC-25

56-51195

JUL 22 1975

G-6

F 7 JUL 31 1975 12/62

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE LOS ANGELES	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 7/30/75	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 7/10/75 - 7/30/75
TITLE OF CASE COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] 47C	TYPED BY [REDACTED]
		CHARACTER OF CASE ELECTION LAWS (ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT)	

REFERENCES: Bureau airtel to Los Angeles, 7/2/75.
Los Angeles teletype to Bureau, 7/10/75.

- P -

LEADLOS ANGELES

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA: Will obtain data
from Attorney FRANK ROTHEMAN concerning files of EUGENE WYMAN.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>				SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW
COPIES MADE:						56-5119-6
4 - Bureau 2 - Washington Field 2 - Los Angeles (56-261)						REC-24
						EX 104
						AUG 4 1975
Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations		
Agency	2CC SPK AMN. JOHN LYDIA					
Request Recd.	VIA 0-14 (1) 9/4/75					
Date Fwd.						
How Fwd.	102					
By						

A*

GPO : 1975 O - 596-119

COVER PAGE

EXP. PROC.

P

DATA PROC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

July 30, 1975

Office: Los Angeles, California

Field Office File #:

56-261

Bureau File #:

Title:

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

Character:

ELECTION LAWS

Synopsis:

JULIUS GLAZER, Accountant for Southern California Committees to Elect Humphrey, reported all contributions to National Committee by forwarding copies of deposit slips and checks as they were received by him. He discontinued reporting money received after 6/27/72. All expenditures also reported to National Committee by furnishing copy of checks. All records maintained by GLAZER were picked up by National Committee in January, 1973. He assumes there was error in central reporting system of National Committee that caused mix-up in reporting of contributions.

- P -

DETAILS:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 7/22/75

JULIUS GLAZER, home address 1803 East Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, California was contacted at his office, 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 209, Beverly Hills, California, telephone number 278-2264. GLAZER was advised of the identity of the agents and that investigation was being conducted concerning contributions furnished to the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey, Incorporated. GLAZER was furnished with a copy of an Interrogation; Advice of Rights form which he read, stated he understood and signed. He then furnished the following:

From the period of May 1972 until January 1973 he was the Accountant for the Southern California Committee to Elect Hubert Humphrey. He was employed during the above dates by the committee and reported to EUGENE L. WYMAN who was in charge of collecting contributions in California.

GLAZER was asked the method employed by him for reporting receipts of contributions to the National Committee in Washington, D. C. He stated all contributions were deposited in the committee account at the City National Bank, Beverly Hills Branch, Beverly Hills, California. Every time he made a deposit he sent a copy of the deposit slip and each check pertaining to that transaction to the National Committee in Washington, D. C. He would place the person's occupation on the check for their information. He also kept one copy of each check and deposit slip and one copy was furnished to Mr. EUGENE WYMAN. The copy furnished to the National Committee was sent to PAUL THATCHER who was Treasurer, but he does not know who ultimately received the reports. He sent the reports by regular mail and has no record of whether or not they were received by the committee. He did not receive acknowledgement from the committee that they received the checks and deposit slips. He is almost certain Mr. WYMAN received some type of confirmation of the deposit slips inasmuch as he was in contact with the National Committee frequently each day.

Interviewed on 7/10/75 at Beverly Hills, California File # Los Angeles 56-261
by SA's [redacted] b7c Date dictated 7/16/75

He did not employ a system for informing the National Committee of the receipts of specific significant contributions and he assumed Mr. WYMAN would have told them of any large contribution.

GLAZER explained that money that they collected was used to pay bills in California and the money was also used by the National Committee in Washington, D. C. Money was sent to the National Committee from the Beverly Hills account by WYMAN whenever it was needed. He thinks the money was sent by wire to the National Committee. Both WYMAN and GLAZER's names were on the account and either one could draw money from the account. He thinks it is possible JOE CERRELL, who was the Campaign Manager for HUMPHREY in California may also have been on the account and able to draw from it.

GLAZER said he could confirm all of this if he had his records, however, in January 1973, BEN FELLOWS came to get all of his records and took them back to Washington, D. C. for PAUL THATCHER.

GLAZER was asked why no reports were sent to the National Committee after June 27, 1972. Mr. GLAZER stated he did not discontinue sending reports after June 27, 1972. He used the above system for reporting contributions to the National Committee from the time he started until the time he was no longer with the Committee. He does not know why anyone would say he had not been sending reports after June 27, 1972 because that was not true. He again stated he could not furnish any back up material on this inasmuch as all of his records have been taken to Washington, D. C.

GLAZER is aware the General Accounting Office conducted an investigation of the late reporting of money by the Humphrey Committee. He had reviewed the report and does not understand some of it. It is his understanding the National Committee found out on some date that all of the money they collected and some of the expenditures had not been reported to the Federal government as required. He also found out that the Humphrey Committee waited 11 months before telling the Federal government of this. He can not understand why they waited such a long time to tell the Federal government.

It is his understanding that after the convention in Miami Beach, Florida HUMPHREY was more or less out of the race for nomination for President on the Democratic ticket. Evidently things "fell apart" after this and this is when the problem arose.

Asked when and how the National Committee ultimately received the information not reported after June 27, 1972, GLAZER said he assumed that FELLOWS obtained the entire picture when he picked up his records here in January 1973. He also understood FELLOWS went to San Francisco and San Diego and picked up their records also.

GLAZER was not instructed not to report anything after June 27, 1972. It was his understanding all Federal reporting would be made by the committee in Washington, D. C. This was a rather unusual procedure but when the committee was first organized in Washington, D. C. it appeared to be the easiest way to report the information to the Federal government.

GLAZER said he had no explanation on why the June 26, 1972 report of the Los Angeles Committee and the June 1, 1972 report of the Los Angeles Dinner Committee were not received by National Headquarters.

GLAZER learned the above reports were not received when FELLOWS came to see him in 1973.

GLAZER was asked how and when he originally and ultimately informed the committee of the information contained in the error report and he again stated he continually sent the deposit slips.

It was the opinion of GLAZER that this entire matter was a problem of "lousy accounting". He thinks it was an error to go to central reporting which the committee did and this is what caused all the problems.

He first found out there was some type of problem in the Humphrey reporting system from HERB ALEXANDER who is the President of the Citizens Research Foundation. This foundation is a scholarly institution that investigates contributions of political figures. He believes ALEXANDER called him in July 1973 and asked him about this matter.

In conclusion GLAZER stated the procedure he used in reporting information to the National Committee had been copied by numerous campaign individuals. At one point he even xeroxed cash that he received and when he testified before the Senate Investigating Committee on campaign contributions he was "chewed out" by one of the investigators when they found out he had-xeroxed money. He did not know it was a violation of Federal law to xerox the money.

Mr. GLAZER added it was unfortunate that Mr. WYMAN had died but he could shed some light on the situation. Mrs. WYMAN worked on the campaign some and she may have information concerning the contributions. He also added that Mr. WYMAN's records may contain copies of the deposit slips and checks he sent to WYMAN.

The interview was then terminated.

Later the same date Mr. GLAZER was telephonically contacted by SA [REDACTED] and asked if he would contact the City National Bank and ask them to allow SA [REDACTED] to have access to the committee account. He said he would make arrangements for the FBI to review the bank records. b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 7/23/75

Mrs. ROSALIND WYMAN, 10430 Bellagio Road, Los Angeles was contacted at her home. She was advised of the identity of the agent and that an investigation was being conducted concerning the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey. She furnished the following information:

Her husband had been the California Chairman of Fund Raising for Hubert Humphrey and he died in January of 1973. She did not get involved in the campaign that much with her husband and she did not remember him making any comment about the operations of sending reports back to the National Committee in Washington, D. C. regarding campaign contributions for HUBERT HUMPHREY. She did know he complained about the reporting system on numerous occasions but she has no further information regarding his complaints. She did state Mr. WYMAN was extremely fastidious about keeping records and she was sure he would have made memorandums concerning his contact with the National Committee regarding the contributions.

She was asked if his records would reflect any of his actions with the committee and she said she thought they would. She has no objections to the FBI reviewing his records if they can be located. Mr. WYMAN's secretary quit approximately two years ago and some of his records are at his office and some are at home. The biggest problem will be locating the records. She suggested SA [redacted] contact Mr. FRANK ROTHMAN who is a law partner of Mr. WYMAN. *b7c*

Mrs. WYMAN said she could furnish no further information regarding this investigation.

Interviewed on 7/11/75 at Beverly Hills, California File # Los Angeles 56-261
by *MAN* SA [redacted] *b7c* -6- Date dictated 7/17/75

LA 56-261

47c On July 11, 1975, Mr. FRANK ROTHMAN, law partner of Mr. EUGENE WYMAN, was contacted by SA [REDACTED]. He was advised of the conversation with Mrs. WYMAN and he stated he would have someone go through the files of Mr. WYMAN and attempt to obtain information which would show acknowledgement of contributions made to the HUMPHREY committee in Los Angeles after June 27, 1972. When this information is available he will recontact SA [REDACTED].

On July 30, 1975, Mr. ROTHMAN telephonically contacted SA [REDACTED] and stated he had obtained pertinent information concerning this investigation and he is leaving town today and will not return until Wednesday, August 6, 1975. He will be available for interview on that date.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 7/24/75

Mr. CURTIS H. PALMER, City National Bank, Wilshire at Roxbury Drive, Beverly Hills, California was advised of the identity of the agent and that an investigation was being conducted concerning the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey. Mr. PALMER stated he had received authorization from JULIUS GLAZER for SA [REDACTED] to review the records of the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey. b7c

PALMER had reviewed their bank records and could find only one account for the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey which involved JULIUS GLAZER. This account was number 001353756. Mr. PALMER furnished xerox copies of the bank statement for the period ending June 2, 1972, July 3, 1972 and July 20, 1972 when the account was closed.

He had reviewed the banks records and could only find this one account involved with GLAZER. There were three other accounts for numerous HUMPHREY committees, however, GLAZER was not involved in the account and therefore can not furnish any information regarding those account without authorization.

Interviewed on 7/15/75 at Beverly Hills, California File # Los Angeles 56-261
by [REDACTED] b7c Date dictated 7/21/75

-7-

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

U.S. GPO: 1974-578-214

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET**

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b 7D with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies) _____, was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.

_____ Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); _____ as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

- ☐ For your information: _____
- ☐ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: _____

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/30/75

JULIUS GLAZER was contacted at his office,
9454 Wilshire Boulevard. He furnished the following:

All expenditures of the committees on which he worked were reported to the National Committee by sending them a copy of the check when money was drawn on the account for the expenditure. Voucher checks were used and a copy of this check is what was sent to the National Committee. The copy was furnished to EUGENE WYMAN so he was aware of what was being spent.

GLAZER was advised that only one account could be found at the City National Bank in Beverly Hills where he was a co-signer. After reviewing the bank statements from June of 1972, until the account was closed, GLAZER stated it was apparent there were other bank accounts which were used to deposit money received by him after June of 1972. He said the accounts were probably in the name of EUGENE WYMAN because WYMAN had the right to withdraw money from all of the accounts they used.

GLAZER said he could furnish no further information concerning this matter.

Interviewed on 7/25/75 at Beverly Hills, California File # Los Angeles 56-261

b. 17C Date dictated 7/30/75

-11*-

F B I

Date: 8/4/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____

(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM SAC, NEWARK (56-199) (P)

SUBJECT COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY INC.
ELECTION LAWS; ACCOUNT AND
FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT
OO: WFO

Re Newark airtel to Bureau, 7/22/75.

Liaison has been maintained with ANN PELLEGRINI, Somerset Trust Company, 50 West Main Street, Somerville, N. J., and ALICE KERNER, Bookkeeping Supervisor, Somerset Trust Company, 101 Sherman Street, Raritan, N. J.

On 8/4/75, Mrs. KERNER advised that they were able to locate an account under the name New Jersey Citizens for HUMPHREY, which was opened by JOAN FINLEY, Treasurer, on 1/18/72, with a \$2,000 deposit and was closed on 5/3/72 with a \$100 item. The account was numbered 32-321-2.

She stated that because of the length of time that the account was closed it would take considerable effort to locate the check and deposit activity but this can be obtained with proper authorization. She suggested either a subpoena issued in her name or a letter from the FBI authorizing release of the information. *101*

WFO should advise Newark whether this information is needed and, if so, obtain a subpoena for the records.

2 - Bureau
2 - WFO (56-501)
2 - Newark
PSS:maf
(6)

21 AUG 6 1975

Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1972 - 485-574

FBI

Date: 7/22/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEWARK (56-199) (P)

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY;
ELECTION LAWS;
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT
(OO: WFO)

Re: Newark teletype to Bureau, dated 7/18/75.

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau is the original and three copies of an LHM regarding captioned matter.

Two copies of same LHM are being furnished WFO.

All persons contacted were advised that this investigation was being conducted at the specific request of the Watergate Special Prosecution Force (SPF), Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. *REC-24* *56-199-8*

LEAD

EX 10

JUL 25 1975

NEWARK

AT SOMERVILLE, NJ: Will maintain contact with secretary to Mr. GIACOBELLO, President, Somerset Trust Co., Main Office, 50 West Main St., regarding their records for the account of the New Jersey Committee.

ENCLOSURE

② Bureau (Enc. 4)
2- WFO (56-501) (Enc. 2)
2- Newark
GAP:maj

(6)

2 CC SPF ATTN: J. L. Lyo:ik
7/22/75 me lchi
VIA 0-14-(1)

Re: [unclear]
SLD

44 AUG 24 1975
Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M Per



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Newark, New Jersey

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

July 22, 1975

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY;
ELECTION LAWS;
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT

On July 18, 1975, James J. DeLaney, 418 Union Avenue, Scotch Plains, New Jersey, was telephonically contacted through telephone number 201-322-8241. He advised that he would be available for interview 10:00 am July 21, 1975.

On July 22, 1975, Administrative Secretary to Mr. Giacobello, President, Somerset County Trust Company, 50 West Main, Somerville, New Jersey, advised that Mr. Giacobello is presently on vacation expecting to return August 11, 1975. She stated that she would review the bank records and attempt to determine what records, if any, are available. She added that contact should be made with her during the week of July 28, 1975.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

44-5119-8
ENCLOSURE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 7/22/75

b7c JAMES J. DE LANEY, white male, [redacted] born [redacted] being interviewed at his residence, 418 Union Avenue, was advised of the official identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview. *N.S.*

DE LANEY advised he had previously been employed as the Administrative Assistant for Senator HARRISON WILLIAMS of New Jersey; however, because of WILLIAMS backing Senator MUSKIE for running in the Presidential Primary, 1972, he terminated his job. Shortly afterwards he was asked to be the campaign Manager of New Jersey for the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY which he accepted, remaining in this position from April, 1972, until sometime in June, 1972. He stated that he immediately secured rooms at the Winfield Scott Hotel, Elizabeth, New Jersey, for the campaign headquarters and that numerous persons assisted in the campaign, most of which were unknown youths from all over the country working in a part-time, volunteer basis. He added that there are no records available which would reflect names of any employees.

DE LANEY advised that the campaign headquarters for the nomination of HUMPHREY was located in Washington, D.C., exact address unrecalled, and that he frequently dealt with ROBERT BARRIE. He stated that all instructions and directives were made in Washington, D.C. He advised that sometime shortly after April, 1972, HUMPHREY won the Pennsylvania Primary and that during his contact with unrecalled persons in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, who were from the campaign headquarters, it was agreed that New Jersey would receive a budget of \$140,000. He added, however, that they never received this amount and that during the entire campaign, only received \$10,000, which had been received originally opening the account Citizens for Humphrey, at the Somerset Trust Company, Watchung Branch, Blue Star Shopping Center, Watchung, New Jersey. This amount was for expenses in running the campaign.

DE LANEY advised that during May or June, 1972, a fund raiser was scheduled to be held at the Railburn Country Club, Florham Park, New Jersey, to raise proceeds for the

Interviewed on 7/21/75 at Scotch Plains, New Jersey File # Newark 56-199
b7c
 by SA [redacted] : maj Date dictated 7/22/75

NK 56-199

campaign. He stated, however, that HUMPHREY was unable to attend and by this time, the \$10,000 had been spent and they had incurred expenses amounting to approximately \$7,000. He stated that Washington had never forwarded any additional money to them and they needed to raise money to pay these expenses, so they decided to go ahead with the fund raiser.

DE LANEY advised that he believes this fund raiser was held approximately 10 days prior to the California Primary and that it consisted of two affairs at the Railburn Country Club, Florham Park, New Jersey, both held on the same date, which was not recalled. The first was a cocktail party at which approximately 15 persons attended and at which KEN O'DONELL from Boston, Massachusetts, who was the Campaign Manager for HUMPHREY, spoke. He stated that he does not recall who attended the cocktail party nor the amount of money which was raised.

Following the cocktail party, a dinner was held at the country club. This dinner was attended by approximately 150 persons, a number of which were the kids assisting in the campaign. Money was also raised at the dinner.

DE LANEY advised that he does not specifically recall the amount collected at the two affairs; however, it was less than expenses incurred in New Jersey, as when the bills were paid, the bill for a car rented by the committee could not be fully paid.

DE LANEY advised that HUMPHREY did speak at the dinner, however, it was over the telephone. He stated that the amounts collected and the names of the contributors were obtained at the two affairs; however, he does not recall who recorded this information nor does he have any of these records.

DE LANEY advised that because of the above and that HUMPHREY lost the primary in California, the New Jersey Committee for the Nomination of HUMPHREY broke up. He stated that none of the records were maintained by him nor does he know of anyone who might have the records of New Jersey, except Washington, D.C. He stated that regarding their campaign,

NK 56-199

that monthly reports were prepared and furnished to Washington, D.C. He stated that although he does not recall who specifically would have received the information from New Jersey, he does recall the names of JENNY BROOM or ROOM and GLADYS KNIGHT. He further stated that ROBERT BARRIE should have knowledge of their operation along with PAT O'CONNOR or O'CONNELL who was an attorney for the committee preparing reports.

DE LANEY advised that there was no one person who contacted Washington or filed the reports. He stated that anyone could have forwarded the reports. He advised that the names and amounts collected during the fund raiser in New Jersey was forwarded to Washington, D.C., adding that records of every transaction occurring in New Jersey during the entire campaign were sent to Washington, D.C.

DE LANEY advised that JOAN FINLEY was working with the campaign as Treasurer and made out the checks for the expenses. He stated, however, that for personal reasons at home she suddenly left the group. He stated that she possibly deposited the original \$10,000; however, she had left the group prior to the fund raiser.

DE LANEY advised that the names of persons attending the fund raisers along with all of the records of New Jersey, had been furnished Washington, D.C. He stated that what happened to these records in Washington, D.C. he does not know; however, shortly after the committee broke up, he was contacted by an attorney preparing the HUMPHREY report, PAT O'CONNOR or O'CONNELL, requesting the New Jersey records. He stated that he informed him the original records had been furnished, however, he went back to the Somerset Trust Company, where their records were reproduced and furnished to Washington, D.C. He stated that he believes these records only consisted of xerox copies of checks which were written. He added that these records, if still available, can be obtained through the bank President, Mr. GIACOBELLO, Main Office, Somerset Trust Company, Somerville, New Jersey.

DE LANEY advised that he could think of no persons working for the New Jersey Committee who could provide

NK 56-199

additional information. He added that the committee was made up largely of kids volunteering their help and that when no money or help could be furnished by Washington, and the fact that HUMPHREY was defeated in California, the committee ceased operation.

DE LANEY advised with respect to the report of ROBERT BARRIE, Receipt Error Analysis, that the amounts shown would have been collected at either the cocktail party or the dinner held at the Railburn Country Club. He stated that he himself recalls JOHN DONNELLY, JOHN CONNER, ALDO CAPUTO, FRANK LANGELLA and PHILLIP DAKO attending the cocktail party; however, he does not recall the amounts given. He stated that he could not explain the names "anonymous" except that this could have possibly represented the amounts collected at the dinners. He further added that he did not know why the three different dates of May 26, 1972, June 1, 1972, and June 2, 1972, appear, since the cocktail party and dinner were held on the same day. He advised that JOHN CONNOR is the Chairman of the Board of Allied Chemical and ALDO CAPUTO raises horses in New Jersey. Additionally, FRANK LANGELLA and PHILLIP DAKO are members of the Railburn Country Club. He added that the report setting forth the contributions would have been furnished to Washington, D.C.

FBI

Date: 7/30/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTELAIR MAIL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, MINNEAPOLIS (56-106) (RUC)

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
ELECTION LAWS.
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT
 OO: WFO

REBUAIRTEL to Washington Field Office, 7/2/75.

Enclosed for the Bureau are 4 copies of LHM,
 dated and captioned as above. Two copies of LHM being
 furnished office of origin.

(2) - Bureau (Enc. 4)
 2 - Washington Field Office (Enc. 2)
 1 - Minneapolis
 JDH:sr

44 AUG 26 1975

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M. Per _____



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
July 30, 1975

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY -
MINNESOTANS FOR HUMPHREY
COMMITTEE AND NEBRASKA COMMITTEE

On July 14, 1975, Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation met with William R. McGrann, Treasurer for both the Minnesotans for Humphrey and the Nebraska Committee. At this time, Mr. McGrann was asked questions by these Special Agents to which he responded in a letter dated July 24, 1975 after reflecting and researching the appropriate material.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

52-8111-9
ENCLOSURE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/25/75

William R. McGrana, Thirty-Eighth Floor, IDS Tower, 50 South Eighth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota, furnished written responses to questions asked of him as follows:

b7c "I am sorry that I was unable to provide you and Special Agent [REDACTED] with the requested information prior to his departure for Arkansas, but after being on a month-long vacation, I have found the work of numerous clients has piled up and they are all demanding time immediately.

"In an effort to provide you with the answers to the questions given me during our interview on July 14, 1975, I have dictated those answers to the best of my recollection and have included them as an attachment. I am doing this primarily to expedite the information to you because I have to leave the city with a client tomorrow morning and, following my return early next week, will again be leaving the area on Thursday. Hopefully this information will be helpful to you in your investigation."

"1. What were the methods used to report receipts and expenditures to the National Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey from the Minnesotans for Humphrey Committee and the Nebraskans for Humphrey Committee?

"As I remember, we received a type of mimeographed reporting form from the National Hill Committee and we were directed to list basic information about the contributions, such as the name of the contributor, the amount and date of the contribution and the occupation of the contributor if it was known. According to the direction received from the National Hill Committee headquarters on Jefferson Place in Washington, D. C., and they would take care of all further reporting requirements.

Interviewed on 7/24/75 at Minneapolis, Minnesota File # MP 56-106

by SA [REDACTED] Date dictated 7/25/75

"2. Did the Treasurer of the local committee receive confirmation that the National HHHH Committee had received the reports prepared by the state committees, and are these records presently available, or where are they at the present time if they exist?

"I am not aware of any mechanism for the National Committee confirming to the local HHHH committee that its information had been received. It must be kept in mind that most of the local committees were very short-lived, and therefore there would have been limited time in which to notify them. In my own case, I was in the State of Nebraska for approximately ten days prior to the primary election and left the day following the election. To the best of my knowledge, the records of the Nebraska Committee would have been sent to the National HHHH Committee shortly after the date of the primary election, which I believe was on May 9, 1972. The records of the Minnesotans for Humphrey were sent in to the National Committee in May or June, 1972, as the Committee went out of existence for all intents and purposes after the June, 1972, DFL State Convention.

"3. What system was used by the state committees for informing the National Committee of significant contributions, that is, those contributions of \$5,000 or more, and are the documents substantiating these contributions and the reporting system thereof available?

"I was never aware of either the Minnesota or Nebraska HHHH Committees ever receiving a contribution in the amount of \$5,000. I faintly recall that a notice was sent by the National HHHH Committee advising the need for immediate telephone reporting if a large contribution were received, but that was never the case with either the Minnesota or Nebraska Committees.

"4. How was the Treasurer of the local committee informed, and when was the Treasurer informed, that the reports from the state committees were not being received by the National Committee on a timely basis? How were the contributions and expenditures then reported and when were they reported?

"To the best of my recollection, neither the Minnesota nor the Nebraska HHHH Committees were advised by the National Committee that their reports concerning contributions were not being received on a timely basis. Again, I point out that these committees were of a relatively limited duration and I don't believe either committee raised any significant amounts of money. It is my understanding that the National HHHH Committee submitted an amendment to its earlier GAO reports sometime in 1973, and that all of the previous reporting errors made by the National Committee relating to Minnesota and Nebraska were corrected at that time. Regarding the copy of the Receipt Error Analysis for the Minnesotans for Humphrey Committee, which listed seven contributions and indicated the 'Report of 5-15-72 from 'Minnesotans for Humphrey' not received by reporting clerk.' -- I am not aware of why they were not received by the reporting clerk because the National Committee did require that the reporting dates be met promptly and I am quite certain that the Minnesotans for Humphrey submitted the reports on a timely basis. As for the Receipt Error Analysis roster involving the Nebraska Committee provided me by Special Agents Anderson and Henwood, I can only comment that the National HHHH Committee was equally concerned about prompt reporting in Nebraska."

Mr. McGrann added that Mary Conover, Secretary to the Minnesotans for Humphrey Committee, handled many of the details of the committee. Mr. McGrann does not know her present location.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE LOS ANGELES	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 8/7/75	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/6/75
TITLE OF CASE (a) COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] b7c	TYPED BY lge
		CHARACTER OF CASE ELECTION LAWS (ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT)	

REFERENCES: Los Angeles report of **[REDACTED] b7c**
dated 7/30/75

- RUC -

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i> COPIES MADE:					SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
4 - Bureau 2 - Washington Field 1 - Los Angeles (56-261)					DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
					56-261-111 REC-45 EX-105	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report					Notations	
Agency	2 CC SPF ALL JPA/lydk				Notations 56-261-111 DATA-DROC	
Request Recd.	Via 0-1461 me/ok					
Date Fwd.	8/11/75					
How Fwd.						
By	56 AUG 26 1975					

- A* -
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

8/7/75

Office: Los Angeles, California

Field Office File #:

56-261

Bureau File #:

Title:

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

Character:

ELECTION LAWS

Synopsis:

FRANK ROTHMAN reviewed files of EUGENE WYMAN and could find no information concerning acknowledgement of contributions made to the Humphrey Committee in Los Angeles after 6/27/72. In January, 1973, he had copies of all checks concerning contributions made to HUMPHREY in Los Angeles area obtained from City National Bank and furnished to BEN FELLOWS of HUMPHREY's National Committee.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 8/7/75

Mr. FRANK ROTHMAN, Attorney, was contacted at his office, 2049 Century Park East, 14th Floor, Los Angeles, California. He furnished the following:

He has reviewed the files of Mr. EUGENE WYMAN and could find no record of any acknowledgement from the National Committee to Elect HUBERT HUMPHREY for contributions made in the Los Angeles area after June 27, 1972. He did find a file containing correspondence after the election in 1972, which he showed to SA [REDACTED]. There was nothing in the file concerning contributions.

ROTHMAN added that in January, 1973, BEN FELLOWS of the National Committee in Washington, D.C., contacted him. FELLOWS stated the General Accounting Office was conducting an audit of the campaign and he (FELLOWS) desired copies of all checks showing contributions to the HUMPHREY Committee in this area. ROTHMAN contacted the City National Bank, Beverly Hills, California, and told them he desired copies of all the checks and that he would pay for them. He had to pay approximately \$2,000 for copies of all the checks and he sent them to FELLOWS in Washington, D.C.

Interviewed on 8/6/75 at Los Angeles, California File # Los Angeles 56-261

by SA [REDACTED] 17C 17

Date dictated 8/7/75

FBI

Date:

8/19/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (56-501)COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
ELECTION LAWS
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT
(OO:WFO)Re Bureau airtel to WFO dated 7/2/75, Newark
airtel to the Bureau dated 7/22/75, and Los Angeles
report of SA [REDACTED] dated 7/30/75.Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and
three copies of LHM dated and captioned as above.On 8/11/75 Assistant Special Prosecutor JOHN
LYDICK (SPO) was contacted regarding possible issuance of a
subpoena for Somerset Trust Company, Somerville, New Jersey
re records of New Jersey Citizens for HUMPHREY. LYDICK ad-
vised he would review investigation submitted by the Bureau
and advise WFO if he desired to obtain the above records.LEAD:WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICEAT WASHINGTON, D.C.Will advise Newark if information from Somerset Trust
Company is needed by SPO.

- 2 - Bureau (Encl.)
-
- 2 - Newark (56-199)
-
- 1 - WFO

RST:djn
(5)2 CC LHM to SFA Att'n JOHN 4/2/75
VIA 0-14-018-21-75
ICC TROUBLE - RM 50.5 SET 2/1/75
3 AUG 21 1975Approved: 297 NPS/L
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D.C. 20535

August 19, 1975

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY;
ELECTION LAWS
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT

In a memorandum dated June 27, 1975, from Henry S. Ruth, Jr., Special Prosecutor addressed Clarence M. Kelley, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), it was requested the FBI contact Mr. Robert Barrie, formerly with the New Jersey Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey regarding the failure to report several contributions received by that committee.

Interviews conducted in accordance with the request in aforementioned memorandum are hereafter set forth.



56-5117-11
ENCLOSURE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 7/15/75

Mr. ROBERT BARRIE, Manager, Federal Legislative Relations Operation, General Electric, 777 14th Street, N. W., Washington, D. c. (WDC), telephone number 637-4000, telephonically contacted SA [REDACTED] on July 10, 1975. In previous telephone conversation, SA [REDACTED] had advised BARRIE that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was conducting an investigation regarding a possible election law violation. The violation concerned the failure to report receipts and expenditures for the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY. BARRIE was previously advised he was being contacted regarding the failure of the New Jersey Committee to report receipts of money in the period from May 26, 1972 til June 7, 1972.

b7C BARRIE stated he wished to give this information to SA [REDACTED] over the telephone. BARRIE was advised by SA [REDACTED] that the investigation concerned a possible violation of Federal laws, and BARRIE must be advised of his Constitutional Rights. BARRIE stated that he understood his rights and felt he had nothing to hide. He also stated that he had no personal knowledge of any wrong doings on the part of the New Jersey Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY. BARRIE advised that since his initial contact with SA [REDACTED] he had done some checking with other members of the NJ Committee in order to find out the procedures used for reporting receipts and expenditures. BARRIE said he had never collected any money himself or reported any receipts of contributions. The information he was about to give was obtained from another individual. Thereafter, SA [REDACTED] advised BARRIE did not have to answer any questions and could have an attorney present if he decided to answer questions. BARRIE was advised he could stop answering questions any time and anything he said could be used against him in Court. BARRIE said he understood these rights and repeated that he wished to give the information over the telephone. BARRIE, thereafter, furnished the following information.

Interviewed on 7/10/75 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 56-301

by SA [REDACTED] Date dictated 7/14/75

Robert BARRIE advised he was the National Coordinator of the New Jersey (NJ) Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY. BARRIE advised the NJ Committee had had a fund raiser for HUMPHREY at the Rayburn Country Club in Floorham Park, NJ, sometime early June of 1972. The fund raiser occurred on the weekend preceding the NJ and California primaries which were held on the same day. BARRIE stated a number of contributors were solicited for this party.

17c SA [redacted] read the following names to BARRIE:

x JOHN DONNELLY, JOHN CONNER, ALDO CAPUTO, FRANK LANGELLA, BERNARD HELLRINO, PHILLIP DAMRO, and ROGER-BUCKELEU. BARRIE advised that he believes these are the names of the contributors who were solicited for this fund raiser.

BARRIE was also advised that two anonymous contributions took place on May 26, 1972 and June 1, 1975 for \$1,670.00 and \$500.00.

BARRIE stated that after HUMPHREY lost in California approximately two thirds of the staff of the NJ Committee were fired. BARRIE stated that very little activity took place regarding the Committee for the Nomination of HUMPHREY after HUMPHREY lost in California. BARRIE stated that the office was very unorganized at the time due to the lack of personnel.

BARRIE advised that all receipts and disbursements from the NJ Committee were supposed to be placed on a pre-printed form and submitted to the Washington Campaign Headquarters. BARRIE said that there was a written instruction regarding this procedure. This procedure also required that all receipts and expenditures be called into the Washington Headquarters by telephone.

BARRIE advised that he did not remember collecting any money himself, however, an individual named, JOAN, last name unknown (LNU), deposited the money and sent out the forms. BARRIE advised that JOAN LNU'S immediate supervisor was JIM DELANEY, Scotts Plains, New Jersey, telephone number 201-322-9241. BARRIE said DELANEY was the Chairman of the NJ Committee. BARRIE advised that he has been in contact with DELANEY regarding this matter.

BARRIE stated that in 1972, BEN FELLOWS, Accountant for the HUMPHREY Committee, WDC, telephonically contacted him, BARRIE, requesting the reports of contributors who had given

money to the HUMPHREY Committee in May and June of 1972. BARRIE said he then called DELANEY who advised that everything had been sent to WDC. DELANEY went to a New Jersey Bank where the New Jersey Committee Account for HUMPHREY had been kept and reproduced the records. DELANEY then supplied these records to YELLOWS. BARRIE believed that the bank in question was the Somerset Trust Company, Somerset, New Jersey.

DELANEY advised BARRIE that all files regarding the New Jersey Committee were sent to WDC to the National Headquarters for the HUMPHREY Committee. BARRIE stated he believes any errors in reporting contributions to the HUMPHREY Committee would be due to the confusion and lack of personnel at the New Jersey Committee following HUMPHREY'S defeat in the California Primary.

BARRIE said he knew of no system for informing the National Committee of the receipt of any large contributions. BARRIE added that to his knowledge there were no large contributions given to the New Jersey Committee.

BARRIE advised he would search his records and attempt to find copies of the pre-printed forms used by the New Jersey Committee to report receipts of contributions.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 7/15/75

b7c Mr. ROBERT BARRIE, Manager, Federal Legislative Relations Operation, General Electric, 777 14th Street, N. W., WDC, telephone number 637-4000, telephonically contacted SA [REDACTED] and provided the following information. BARRIE advised SA [REDACTED] that the pre-printed forms which he referred to in his interview on July 10, 1975, are being held by his secretary in his office for SA [REDACTED]. These forms refer to the reporting of receipts received by the NJ Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY and were used to report contributions to the National Headquarters of the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY.

BARRIE advised that he remembered another event regarding fund raising in New Jersey which occurred before the California primary and could have been in May of 1972. The event was a \$15 or \$20 a plate dinner for HUMPHREY. BARRIE said the money was collected at the door and he believed names of contributors were taken. BARRIE believes this would account for the \$1,670 contribution in May 26, 1972 in which contributor was named as anonymous. BARRIE had no idea why the names of contributors never reached the Washington Headquarters of the HUMPHREY Committee.

Interviewed on 7/11/75 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 56-105

by SA [REDACTED] Date dictated 7/15/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 7/15/75

b7c SA [REDACTED] contacted FLORENCE FRIEDMAN, Secretary to Mr. ROBERT BARRIE, Manager of Federal Legislative Relations Operations, General Electric, 777 14th Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., who provided the attached pre-printed forms used for the Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY to report receipts of contributions.

Interviewed on 7/11/75 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 56-501

by SA [REDACTED] Date dictated 7/15/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINANT

(State)

CHECK # DATE AMOUNT ACCT # PAYEE: name
zip*
dust:

Appendix B

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 8/12/75	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 7/22/75 - 7/31/75
TITLE OF CASE COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED]	TYPED BY sjp
		CHARACTER OF CASE ELECTION LAWS	

REFERENCE: Bureau airtel to Washington Field, 7/2/75.

- RUC -

ENCLOSURES

FOR THE BUREAU AND WASHINGTON FIELD

Enclosed for the Bureau are four (4) copies, and for Washington Field two (2) copies each of the following documents:

Report Number 3 of the Northern California
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY Presidential Campaign
Committee

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
						PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
						PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE				DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE:	4 - Bureau (Encl. 12) 2 - Washington Field (Encl. 6) 1 - San Francisco (56-141)				56-511-12 REC-43 3 AUG 21 1975 MCT-34	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report					Notations	
Agency	20C SVE attn [signature]				[signature]	
Request Recd.	VIA CHM DATED 8-21-75				[signature]	
Date Fwd.	100 TRUCKER-50558				[signature]	
How Fwd.					[signature]	
By					[signature]	

COVER PAGE

SF 56-141
BJT/sjp

Western Union telegram dated 5/29/73
addressed to Mr. RUDDEN

Receipt addressed to JAMES RUDDEN by
BEN E. FELLOWS

COVER PAGE

B*

64

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

[REDACTED] b7c
8/12/75

Office: San Francisco

Field Office File #:

56-141

Bureau File #:

Title:

COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

Character:

ELECTION LAWS

Synopsis:

WALTER H. SHORENSTEIN advised that his primary activities with the Northern California Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY (NCCNHHH) were the solicitation of donations for campaign expenses, and did not deal with the day to day reporting and record keeping for the committee's receipts and disbursements. JAMES RUDDEN provided information regarding the record keeping and reports of receipts and disbursements for the NCCNHHH.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

This investigation is predicated upon United States Department of Justice letter dated June 27, 1975 to the Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, from HENRY S. RUTH, JR., Special Prosecutor, requesting that the Treasurer of the San Francisco Committee for the Nomination of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY be interviewed regarding local committee financial reporting for the National Committee.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/30/75

WALTER H. SHORENSTEIN, Chairman of the Board, Milton Meyer Company, One California Street, San Francisco, California, telephone (415) 781-5100, was advised of the interviewing Agent's identity and official capacity and thereupon made available the following information:

Because of his political support of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY and his active participation in the activities of the Democratic Party in the Northern California area, he informally assumed and had been designated the Northern California Chairman for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey by the National Committee sited in Washington, D.C. during the election year of 1972.

His primary activities in the capacity as Chairman were to solicit donations for the campaign funds of HUBERT H. HUMPHREY in HUMPHREY's bid for the Democratic presidential nomination and election to the presidency of the United States. As such, he did not deal with the day to day reporting and record keeping of the Committee, and all such matters were handled by JAMES R. RUDDEN, Vice President, Ray Oil Burner Company, San Francisco, California.

He has no knowledge concerning matters of reporting receipts and disbursements of the Local Committee to the National Committee, confirmation receipts or any reasons for delayed or untimely reporting by the Local Committee to the National Committee in Washington, D.C.

Interviewed on 7/22/75 at San Francisco, California File # SF 56-141

by SA [REDACTED] Date dictated 7/25/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside

2 - FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 8/8/75

On July 23, 1975, JAMES J. RUDDEN, Vice President, Ray Oil Burner Company, 1301 San Jose Avenue, San Francisco, California, telephone number 415-333-5800, was advised of the interviewing Agent's identity and official capacity and thereupon provided the following information.

During the 1972 Presidential Campaign period he was the treasurer of the Northern California Hubert H. Humphrey Presidential Campaign Committee, and as such, was responsible for the accounting and reporting of campaign contributions and expenditures to the National Committee in Washington, D. C.

To accurately answer any questions regarding committee reporting information, he would have to conduct a review of committee documents and reports and therefore requested to be recontacted in approximately one week.

On July 31, 1975, JAMES J. RUDDEN was recontacted at his place of employment and provided the following information.

The method of reporting contributions and expenditures of the local committee to the National Committee consisted of a report made up of three sections as follows.

1. Cover letter
2. Listing of contributions
3. Listing of expenditures

The cover letter set forth the sequential number and the period covered by the report with the first report covering the period April 7, 1972 through April 19, 1972, out of a total of approximately eighteen reports.

The contribution page (s) set forth the names, residence and business addresses, amounts and dates of each individual contributor whose contribution had been received during the period covered by the report.

Interviewed on 7/23 & 31/75 at San Francisco, California File # SF 56-141

by SA [redacted] *OK 67C*

Date dictated 8/6/75

The expenditures page set forth the check number, amount, date, vendor number and payee of expenses paid during the period covered by the report.

A copy of report number three for the period consisting of one day, May 19, 1972, was made available as an example.

The first report numbered one was transmitted to National Committee in Washington, D. C., by telecopier with the typed report transmitted via U. S. Postal Service on the same date. All subsequent reports were mailed via U. S. Postal Service either on or within a day of the last day of the reporting period covered by each report with no telecopy being transmitted to the National Committee other than the initial report.

All reports were transmitted to PAUL THACHER, Treasurer, Humphrey for President Campaign, 1225 19th Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., attention LEE OTTMAN, Finance Office.

He has no knowledge of any formal confirmation of receipt system in effect by the National Committee to notify the local committee that reports submitted were actually received. As all local reports were submitted sequentially both in report number and period covered, the local committee assumed that if any report was not received by the National Committee, it would be evident to them as the result of the out of sequence pattern of subsequent reports and that the local committee would be notified.

He has no knowledge of any system in effect by the local committee wherein the National Committee was notified of specific significant contributions outside of the normal periodic reports made to the National Committee by the local committee.

He has on hand reports which reflect the following information regarding contributions made during the period May 16, 1972 through June 7, 1972.

<u>Report Number</u>	<u>Period Covered</u>	<u>Date of Trans- tal Letter</u>	<u>Contributor</u>	<u>Date of Con- tribution</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2	May 16- 18, 1972	May 19, 1972	JOHN S. BOWLES	May 16,	\$ 200.00

<u>Report Number</u>	<u>Period Covered</u>	<u>Date of Transmittal Letter</u>	<u>Contributor</u>	<u>Date of Contribution</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2	May 16-18, 1972	May 19 1972	CLAUDE CODY	May 16, 1972	\$1,000.00
2	"	"	RICHARD DINNER	"	-\$ 200.00
2	"	"	ALFRED FROM	"	\$ 250.00
2	"	"	GRAFTON WORTHINGTON	"	\$1,000.00
3	May 19, 1972	May 22, 1972	JOSEPH ALAINO	May 19, 1972	\$ 500.00
3	"	"	M. F. BAGAN	"	\$ 200.00
3	"	"	ROBERT FOEHN	"	\$ 200.00
9	June 2, 1972	June 2, 1972	JOHN BROOKS	June 2, 1972	\$5,000.00
9	"	"	JERRY MAGNIM	"	\$ 500.00
9	"	"	ADOLPH SCHUMAN	"	\$1,000.00
9	"	"	JOSEPH SEAVER	"	\$ 500.00
9	"	"	ROBERT SUTRO	"	\$ 200.00
7	May 26-31, 1972	June 1, 1972	Golden Gateway Center	May 26, 1972	\$ 500.00
7	"	"	O'Brien, Stout, Bunim, Frazier and Hallisey	"	\$ 500.00

The contributions from Golden Gateway Center and O'Brien, Stout, Bunim, Frazier and Hallisey were listed in the committee report without the individual contributor's name because these contributions were in the firm's name, however, the individual contributors were as follows:

DONALD C. MAC CONAUGHA for Golden Gateway Center
CHARLES A. O'BRIEN for O'Brien, Stout, Bunim,
Frazier and Hallisey.

He made available a copy of a Western Union telegram dated May 29, 1973, from PATRICK O'CONNOR, Counsel for the National Committee, Washington, D. C., requesting all books and records of the local committee to be sent to Washington, D.C. As he did not want to release the records of the local committee without his written receipt and acceptance of the local committee's accounting for contributions and expenditures, the National Committee at his request, sent BEN E. FOLLOWS out to San Francisco to obtain the books and records and who also issued a receipt for same, a copy of which was made available.

The day to day record keeping, banking and handling of committee correspondence was handled under his direction by MADLYN DAY, a current employee of Ray Oil Burner Company and DIANE SMITH, a former employee of Ray Oil Burner Company.

HUMPHREY '72

FINANCE COMMITTEE
ONE CALIFORNIA STREET,
SUITE 2335
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94111
415/433-7940

May 22, 1972

Hon. Chet Holifield
Vice Chairman

Charles A. O'Brien
Vice Co-Chairman

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

Hon. Joseph L. Alioto
Chairman

Walter H. Shorenstein
Finance Chairman

James J. Rudden
Treasurer

Committee

Edward A. Airriess

John Bolles

James E. Brennan, Jr.

John Brooks

Barrie Cody

George T. Davis

Bill Delaney

Newton Dal Pogetto

Wynne Flagg

Jack Goldberger

Robert A. E. Greenberg

Norman L. Griffin

Norma Hallisey

Hon. John W. Holmdahl

Tom Hsieh

Walter L. Johnson

Norman Kaufman

James Newin

Monroe Sweetland

Hon. Peter Tamaras

Ed Turner

Barbara Von Beroldingen

(partial list)

Madlyn Day

Coordinator

Mr. Paul Thacher, Treasurer
Humphrey For President Campaign
1225 - 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

ATTENTION: Lee Ottman, Finance Office

RE: NORTHERN CALIFORNIA HUMPHREY FOR PRESIDENT
CAMPAIGN

ENCLOSED PLEASE FIND:

REPORTS # 3 COVERING PERIOD OF MAY 10, 1972
THROUGH MAY 19, 1972 COVERING CONTRIBUTIONS AND
EXPENDITURES.

By: James J. Rudden, Treasurer

56 - 5119 - 10

CALIFORNIA COMMITTEE - HUMPHREY R PRESIDENT

FINANCE REPORT # 3

CONTRIBUTIONS - May 19, 1972

<u>NAME & HOME ADDRESS</u>	<u>BUSINESS ADDRESS</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Aliano, Joseph A. 2051 Jefferson St. San Francisco, Ca. 94123	Aliano Plumbing Company 2051 Jefferson St. San Francisco, 94123 (Owner)	\$500.00
Bagan, M. F. 430 Hampton Road Piedmont, Ca. 94611	Deputy Director of Operations S.F. International Airport San Francisco, Ca. 94128	200.00
Foehn, Robert C. 128 Windsor Court San Carlos, Ca.	Vice President Bay Electric Construction Corp. 761 Clementina St. San Francisco, Ca. 94103	200.00

\$900.00

Total as of 5/19 - \$22,901.42

225

CALIFORNIA COMMITTEE - HUMPHREY FOR PRESIDENT

FINANCE REPORT # 3

EXPENDITURES - May 19, 1972

Page 12

<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>VENDOR NO.</u>	<u>PAYEE</u>
\$150.00	5/19/72	256	4772	Radio Station KEST 1231 Market Street San Francisco, Ca. 94103 Radio Spots for 5/23 Ral
200.00	5/19/72	257	4771	Radio Station KDFA (KDIA) P.O. Box 8432 Oakland, Ca. 94608 Radio Spots - Rally 5/23
100.00	5/19	258	4201	Terry Francois 474 Fulton Street San Francisco, Ca. 94102 Handbills - Rally 5/23
200.00	5/19	259	4670	Pacific Telephone 140 New Montgomery St. San Francisco, Ca. 94105 Cash Deposit - Tel. Serv Hdqr. at 1358 Divisadero San Francisco
200.00	5/19	260	4670	Pacific Telephone 140 New Montgomery St. San Francisco, Ca. 94105 Cash Deposit - Tel. Serv. Hdqr. at 2801 Mission -
100.00	5/19	261	4796	J.C. Smith 4911 Third St. San Francisco, Ca. 94122 Rent for Hunters Pt. Hdq:

Total 5/19 - \$ 27,076.83

MGMSFOA SFOA
2-039693EI49 05/29/13
ICS IPMBNGZ CSP
6123356981 MGM TDBN MINNEAPOLIS MN 100 05-29 0623P EST
ZIP 94112

western union

Mailgram



MAY 29 1973

MR RUDDEN
1301 SAN JOSE AVE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112

THIS IS TO AUTHORIZE YOU TO SEND BY THE FASTEST ROUTE ALL BOOKS AND RECORDS IN YOUR POSSESSION RELATING TO THE COMMITTEE TO NOMINATE HUBERT H HUMPHREY TO OUR OFFICES AT 1750 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NORTHWEST SUITE 1303 WASHINGTON DC 20006. ENCLOSE FORM OF RECEIPT YOU DESIRE WHICH WE WILL ACKNOWLEDGE AND RETURN TO YOU.

PATRICK O'CONNOR, COUNSEL FOR THE COMMITTEE AND PAUL THATCHER, TREASURER

1823 EST

MGMSFOA SFOA

Handwritten routing slip with a grid of boxes. The word "RUBEN" is written in cursive at the bottom right of the grid.

TO: Mr. James Rudden

RE: Northern California Humphrey for President Records

Please consider this as your receipt for the documents and records which I have taken to the Washington, D. C. office of the Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey, Inc.:

- A) Bank Statements and Cancelled Checks
- B) Copies of Checks Deposited
- C) Payroll Records
- D) Vouchers and Supporting Invoices

Dated: 6-15-73

B. E. Fellows

BEN E. FELLOWS
As Agent for Paul R. Thatcher, Treasurer
Committee for the Nomination of Hubert
H. Humphrey, Inc.

cc: Madlyn Day

5117

August 21, 1975

BY COURIER SERVICE

**COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
ELECTION LAWS**

Enclosed herewith for the Special Prosecution Force are two copies of a report dated August 12, 1975, from the San Francisco Office of the FBI, along with two copies of enclosures as follows which relate thereto:

1. Report Number 3 of the Northern California Hubert H. Humphrey Presidential Campaign Committee
2. Western Union telegram dated May 29, 1973, addressed to Mr. Rudden
3. Receipt addressed to James Rudden by Ben E. Fellows

Memorandum dated July 22, 1975, of the Newark, New Jersey, Office of the FBI, previously forwarded to your office, contained the results of investigation conducted relating to the New Jersey Committee for the Nomination of Hubert H. Humphrey. You are requested to advise whether or not records of the Somerset Trust Company, Somerville, New Jersey, as relate to the New Jersey Citizens for Humphrey account are necessary; if so, you are requested to forward a subpoena directed to Alice Kerner, Bookkeeping Supervisor, Somerset Trust Company, 101 Sherman Street, Raritan, New Jersey, relating to account number 32-321-2.

NOTE: Original and one copy LHM with enclosures forwarded to SPF by O-14 this date attention Mr. John Lydick.

NLC:cjl (4)

BY COURIER SVC.
AUG 25 AM.
FBI

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

**Committee for the Nomination
of Hubert H. Humphrey**

**If no further information is necessary regarding the
New Jersey Committee, all investigation requested has been completed.**

**This document contains neither recommendations nor
conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned
to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside
your agency.**

Enclosures - 10

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK, N.Y.

DATE: 9/28/75

FROM : SAC, WFO (75-301) (P)

SUBJECT: ALLEGED FRAUDulent INVESTIGATION OF
THE JERNEY TRUST COMPANY, NEW JERSEY
FOR RECORDS OF THE JERNEY
TRUST COMPANY, NEW JERSEY
(NY 100)

Rebulet to Bureau dated 9/2/75.

On 9/25/75, Assistant Special Prosecutor JOHN LYDICH (NY) was contacted re issuance of subpoena for records of the Jerney Trust Company, New Jersey, New Jersey, for records of the Jerney Trust Company, New Jersey. LYDICH advised it will not be necessary to obtain information from the Jerney Trust Company.

Assistant Special Prosecutor LYDICH further advised that any additional investigation, if needed, would be conducted in Washington, D.C. LYDICH stated he would thoroughly review the information submitted in this letter and advise if additional investigation is needed.

Work discontinued.

ST 109

WFO:

REC 17

56-5118 13

RECEIVED FILED

5 OCT 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Will keep this case in a pending status and await further requests for investigation from the Bureau or WFO.

O. WFO
SAC, WFO (75-199)
10/1/75

10/1/75

10/1/75

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 11/19/75

FROM : SAC, WFO (56-501)(C)

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE FOR THE NOMINATION
OF HUBERT H. HUMPHREY:
ELECTION LAWS
ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT
(OO:WFO)

Re WFO letter to the Bureau dated 9/30/75.

In referenced communication WFO advised this case be kept in pending status to wait further request for investigation from the Bureau or the SPO. As no request has been forthcoming in this matter, WFO is placing this case in a closed status, UACB.

EX-115

REC-43

NOV-32

CH 41

5 NOV 21 1975

2 - Bureau
1 - WFO

RST:jeg
(3)



62 DEC 12 1975

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

REC'D
EXP.

Humphrey, Hubert

62-26225

4 PGS. AVAIL.

264

22

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. J. A. Tamm _____
 Mr. Clegg _____
 Mr. Glavin _____
 Mr. Ladd _____
 Mr. Nichols _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tracy _____
 Mr. Carson _____
 Mr. Egan _____
 Mr. Gurnea _____
 Mr. Harbo _____
 Mr. Hendon _____
 Mr. Pennington _____
 Mr. Quinn Tamm _____
 Mr. Nease _____
 Miss Gandy _____

098525

October 29, 1947

MEMORANDUM FOR Mr. Nichols

Attached are 30 memoranda on the present members of the National Conference on Prevention and Control of Juvenile Delinquency. As you know these people have already been appointed and the names appear on the attached letterhead. Because of the fact that many of the names appearing on the list were very common this project involved the checking of hundreds and hundreds of files. In many cases the names were so common that it was impossible to determine whether or not the data in our files was identical with the individuals on the Conference panel. In a further effort to cut down the project we have checked the names right on the head. For example, in the case of Hubert H. Humphrey we have confined our checking to that entire name and not to Hubert Humphrey or other variations.

In the case of [redacted] it should be noted that there are approximately 800 references in our files to this individual. The only summary memorandum available included material up to 1941 which only covers about 200 of the references. It is suggested that you advise the Department of this fact and that we do not check the remaining 600 references unless they make a specific request.

M. A. Jones

EX-46

RECORDED

INDEXED

162-2662-1
 F R I
 19 JAN 31 1948

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 12/29/81 BY SP4TAM/14

9 FEB 18 1948

THIS MEMORANDUM IS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES
 TO BE DESTROYED AFTER ACTION IS TAKEN AND NOT SENT TO FILES

RE: HUBERT B. HUMPHREY

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/29/91 BY 4302/116

I BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Hubert Humphrey is Mayor of Minneapolis, Minnesota, having assumed that office in July of 1945. In 1943 he ran for the office of Mayor but was defeated. Prior to entering politics Mayor Humphrey was, in 1944, Professor of Political Science at MacAlester College in St. Paul.

II ACTIVITIES AND CONTACTS

Mayor Humphrey prior to his political career was recognized as a well-known Liberal in Minnesota. Reports have been received that he was an instructor at the Abraham Lincoln School Extension in Minneapolis in March of 1944; he spoke at the Soldiers' Rally in May of 1944, which was arranged by the American Youth for Democracy, and he reviewed the book "Under Cover" for the Student League for Democracy at the University of Minnesota.

Prior to assuming the office of mayor in July of 1945 the Communists in Minneapolis worked very aggressively to elect Humphrey and according to one informant Humphrey was forced to associate with Communist Party members for political reasons.

In the same connection it is noted that in May of 1945 a highly confidential source stated that three of the functionaries of the Communist Party in Minneapolis held a conference during which they talked of the necessity of electing Humphrey on a Liberal ticket.

The interests of the Communists in Humphrey's election is reflected in an item which appeared in the Minneapolis Star Journal on May 29, 1945, revealing that John M. Jacobsen, National Director of the CIO Political Action Committee during the 1944 Presidential Campaign, would return to Minneapolis on June 1, 1945, and head a new CIO-PAC organization. It was noted that Jacobsen had just returned from Washington, D. C., where he had been with the staff of Senator Claude Pepper. This item went on to state that Jacobsen's return to Minneapolis aroused speculation over CIO plans for an all out campaign for its endorsed candidates, particularly Humphrey who was running for mayor. It should be noted that Jacobsen meets frequently with Minnesota State Communist Party leaders and with Johnny Williamson, a National Communist Party leader.

The Daily Worker for June 13, 1945, stated that labor and liberal forces in Minneapolis had won a sweeping victory in the city's election, which included Humphrey's defeat of Marvin L. Kline for mayor. The Worker pointed out that Humphrey was the State Campaign Manager for President Roosevelt and that in the local campaign he had the unanimous endorsement of the AF of L,

ENCLOSURE

9

62-26225-906

346

the CIO, as well as other labor groups.

Following Humphrey's election he appointed Ed Ryan, a graduate of the FBI National Academy, as Superintendent of Police. Information from a highly confidential source reflected that the Communists were opposed to this appointment and that Robert Wishart, a member of the State Board of the Communist Political Association, told Humphrey that the CIO was against the appointment of Ryan and raised the objection to Humphrey that Ryan had worked for the FBI. Humphrey is alleged to have replied that he would see to it that the Minneapolis Police no longer did FBI work. During the same conversation the Acting District Chairman of the Communist Political Association stated that the Communists could no longer depend on Humphrey and that in the future the CIO would be more militant. The same functionary stated that it was now evident that Mayor Humphrey was trying to please all sides and therefore could not be considered a close ally of the Communists. It appears, however, that Humphrey did pay his political debt to the Communists inasmuch as he appointed Wishart as a member of the Minneapolis Welfare Board.

When Hubert Humphrey took office as mayor he instructed former Superintendent of Police, Elmer F. Millner to see that all gambling in the city was stopped. In July of 1945 when Ed Ryan became Superintendent of Police he issued similar instructions.

The Minneapolis Tribune for January 3, 1946, reported that individuals had appeared before the Minneapolis City Council and complained of discrimination in the awarding of Civil Service jobs in Minneapolis. The charges were made that there were no Negro school teachers or office workers in the Minneapolis school system. The item states that Humphrey had asked the Council to introduce an antidiscrimination ordinance in Minneapolis patterned after the Presidential Executive Order establishing the Wartime Fair Employment Commission but that the Council had taken no action.

The February 1, 1946, issue of the Minnesota Labor stated that Local 1145 of the United Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers of America—CIO had begun the publication of a shop paper. Humphrey sent a message of congratulations to the paper and stated that the progressive movement in Minnesota had come to expect leadership from Local 1185 and also from Robert Wishart, the Business Agent for the Local. As previously noted Wishart is a Communist Party member and is President of the Hennepin CIO Industrial Union Council.

The Minnesota Labor for April 5, 1946, carried a report on the Democratic Farm Labor Convention held at St. Paul. The article indicated that Humphrey and others had supported the Right Wing faction of the Convention.

The Minneapolis Tribune for March 31, 1946, in reporting on the same Convention stated that Humphrey had led the so-called progressive Right Wing delegates and had defeated the Left Wing element over the question

of a unit rule in regard to apportioning delegates.

A handbill entitled "Terror in Tennessee" was distributed at a May Day rally announcing a mass protest meeting which would be held on May 8, 1946. The speakers included Mayor Humphrey and Raymond Lockridge, a victim of the Columbia, Tennessee, race riots. The meeting was under the sponsorship of the NAACP in Minneapolis.

A report in October of 1946 reflects that Humphrey is not connected with the underworld in Minneapolis and since he has been Mayor he has "cleaned house."

On November 15, 1946, the Editor of the Minneapolis Star Journal received a letter from the Democratic Nationalists Party of the United States of America which contained a veiled threat against Hubert Humphrey. The letter stated that freedom of speech and freedom of assembly had been abrogated by the local city administration under the infamous Jew and Negro lover, Hubert Humphrey. The letter denounced Humphrey as a traitor to his people and consequently the Democratic Nationalists Party officially condemned him. Because the letter contained only a rather indirect and veiled threat, the United States Attorney in St. Paul declined prosecution; however, an internal security case was opened on the organization. It was later determined that the Democratic Nationalists Party was an anti-Jewish, anti-Negro organization along the same lines as the Columbians. The head of the Democratic Nationalists Party was later identified as Maynard Orlando Nelsen, who was arrested and charged with breach of the peace.

In February of 1947 information was received from a confidential source that the Communist Party in Minneapolis planned a projected attack on Humphrey. The hope of the Party is to be able to bring about unity between the CIO and the AP of L in so far as the Minneapolis municipal campaign goes and thereby remove the support of labor groups from the mayor.

On February 28, 1947, a functionary in the local Communist Party stated that the Party could no longer trust Humphrey.

Humphrey, Hubert H.

74.2509

51 PGS. AVAIL.

9/11/74

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Attached requests an investigation of a possible perjury committed by either Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D-Minn.) or Robert A. Maheu (former aide to Howard Hughes). This request is based on correspondence from William O. Mincher, Chairman of the Mincher Committee of Citizens for Fairplay in Politics, and is an outgrowth of a civil libel suit filed in Los Angeles Federal Court by Maheu against Hughes. In a counter suit Hughes claims Maheu misapplied funds, including \$50,000 Maheu reportedly gave Humphrey as a contribution in the 1968 Presidential Campaign. Humphrey furnished deposition denying receipt of these funds while Maheu gave sworn testimony that he delivered the cash to Humphrey.

Criminal Division has no objection to notifying Humphrey of this investigation. Congressional Services Office will inform Senator Humphrey this investigation being conducted at request of Criminal Division. Following this notification the field will be instructed to proceed with the investigation.

WLC
etc

K REG:wm
jm

102
000
amc
WAF

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

DEPARTMENT

Mr. Dir.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dep. AD Adm.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dep. AD Inv.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comp. Syst.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ext. Affs.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Files & Com.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gen. Inv.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ident.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Inspection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Intell.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Laboratory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rec. Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Off. Liaison	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

TO : Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

September
DATE:

HEP:CWB
72-12c-

FROM : Henry E. Petersen
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division

SUBJECT: Possible perjury violation committed by either
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey or former Howard Hughes
aide Robert A. Maheu

Please find attached correspondence from William O. Mincher, Chairman, The Mincher Committee of Citizens for Fairplay in Politics, Unlimited, and newspaper clippings, regarding statements apparently given under oath by Senator Hubert H. Humphrey and by former Howard Hughes aide Robert A. Maheu with reference to an alleged \$50,000 cash contribution from the Hughes organization during the 1968 presidential campaign.

We request that a preliminary investigation into this matter be undertaken by the Bureau. Copies of those portions of the statements given in depositions in connection with the on-going civil libel suit captioned Maheu v. Hughes Tool Co., a corporation, Boyer & Associates, a corporation, and Richard Hanna, No. 72-305HP, in the United States District Court, Central District of California, relevant to the \$50,000 contribution should be obtained.

The Criminal Division has no objection to the Bureau advising Senator Humphrey that an investigation into a possible perjury violation has been initiated by the Department in connection with the testimony given by the Senator and by Mr. Maheu concerning the alleged contribution.

Attachments

ENCLOSURE

Sen. Humphrey
advised 9-16-74
Airtel LA MCT-17
enc. CH 57
9-17-74
d.mj.

SEP 22 1974

EXP. PROC.

351

March 5, 1974

The Honorable William B. Saxbe,
Attorney General
Washington, D.C.

MAR 12 1 53 PM '74

Dear Sir:

Re: Robert A. Maheu Vs. Hubert Humphrey
Robert A. Maheu, according to press reports, has sworn under oath that he donated \$50,000 in cash to Hubert Humphrey during the 1968 presidential campaign. As you will note from the attached report, Senator Humphrey denied under oath he received the money. One of these gentlemen is guilty of perjury, right?

Like millions of other taxpayers, I think I have a right to know why the Department of Justice or the Watergate Committee or both have not brought these gentlemen before the Bar of Justice?

As the Nations top law enforcement officer, I think it is your responsibility to investigate this matter and make a national report to the people. After all, Senator Humphrey is an important figure, having served as Vice-president and now a senator should be either cleared of this scandal if not guilty or brought to justice if guilty.

May I report to my committee, that a thorough investigation is now under way?

Respectfully,

William O. Mincher
William O. Mincher, Chairman

The Mincher Committee Of Citizens
For Fairplay In Politics, Unlimited
475 Hawthorne Road
Laguna Beach, Calif.

Received Fraud Section

MAR 19 1974

Criminal Division

74-120-2

74-25041

352

April 30, 1974

Honorable Howard H. Baker, Jr.
U.S. Senator
Washington, D.C.

My Dear Senator:

You completely ignored my letter last week, inquiring why there had been no investigation by your committee of the alleged \$50,000 donation of the Hughes organization to Hubert H. Humphrey. As you must know, both Humphrey and Maheu have sworn under oath, Humphrey saying he did not get the money and Maheu saying he did. Obviously, one of them is guilty of perjury. Had they been associated with the Nixon campaign committee, there would have been a grand jury investigation and one of them if not both would be in jail. In the name of justice and fairplay, why?

Senator, I don't want any more form letters such as you sent, in response to my inquiry. I want straight answers. I am going to find out who is covering up if I have to go up to the U.S. Supreme Court. I wrote Senator Ervin, the same time I wrote you and I did not expect to get an answer, but you are supposed to be an honest Republican and I expected an answer.

Sincerely,

William O. Mincher

Copy to:
William B. Saxbe, Attorney General

Wm. Mincher
475 Hawthorne Rd.
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

ENCLOSURE

74-2509-1

APR 30 1974

MAY 3 4 45 PM '74

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

CRIMINAL DIVISION

MAY 9 1974

The Honorable William B. Saxbe,
Attorney General
U.S. Department Of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Received Fraud Section

Dear Mr. Saxbe:

I have been inquiring for months about the alleged \$50,000 donation by the Hughes Organization to Hubert H. Humphrey. (See attached news article for details)

As you will note, I have written Senator Howard H. Bakers, Jr. the District Attorney in Los Angeles, the Attorney General of the State of California and I get nothing but "buck-passing".

Without any double talk, will you, honestly and very frankly tell me in plain english, why there has been no grandjury investigation, not only of the possible illegal donation, but the purjury charges?

If there has been a "cover-up", the public is entitle to know.

Yours truly,

W. O. Mincher
William O. Mincher

475 Hawthorne Road
Laguna Beach, Calif.

RECEIVED

MAY 9 1974

CD 01

ENCLOSURE

74-2509-1

37-1210-0	
6	104
CRIMINAL GEN. CRIME SEC.	

HHH Denies He Took Fund

WASHINGTON (AP) — Sen. Robert H. Humphrey, D-Minn., has denied ~~REPORTS~~ that he personally received a \$50,000 cash contribution from the Howard Hughes organization during the 1968 presidential campaign.

Humphrey made the denial in a court-ordered deposition taken in his office Tuesday in

which he replied to a series of written questions prepared by attorneys for Hughes and cross-questions submitted by lawyers for a former Hughes aide, Robert A. Maheu.

The deposition will be entered as evidence in a civil trial scheduled to begin next week in Los Angeles.

Maheu said in sworn

testimony last summer that he delivered \$50,000 in cash from Hughes to Humphrey while the two men sat in a limousine in front of a Los Angeles hotel in the fall of 1968. Humphrey was vice president at the time.

Maheu has charged that Hughes libeled him during a 1972 telephone news conference

by implying Maheu had stolen money while supervising Hughes' hotel and gambling operations in Las Vegas.

In a countersuit, Hughes contends Maheu misappropriated some funds entrusted to him, possibly including the \$50,000 Maheu said he passed to Humphrey.

One of these gentlemen is a LIAR ! Why has not the Department of Justice or the Watergate Committee investigated? Could it be that Democrats are immune from such investigations? ...purjury, right?

74-2509-1

256